



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/556,178

04/20/2000

Olga Bandman

PF-0417-2-DIV

5936

22428 7590 01/13/2011
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

STRZELECKA, TERESA E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/13/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/556,178	Applicant(s) BANDMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner TERESA E. STRZELECKA	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:

(1) Teresa Strzelecka.

(2) Stephanie Vavra.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Ms. Vavra to notify Applicant that the case has been returned to the examiner and after an appeal brief conference with SPEs Gary Benzion and Joseph Woitach it was decided that the sequences with SEQ ID NO: 3 and 5 will be searched in addition to the previously examined SEQ ID NO: 1. Ms. Vavra was also informed that the rejection of claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 101, utility, will be withdrawn with respect to sequence with at least 98% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO: 1, and that she will be contacted after the search results are known to determine the best course of action to bring the case to allowance.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Teresa E Strzelecka/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637
December 13, 2010

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)